# Orthogonal Projector

P. Sam Johnson

May 26, 2017

4 日下

∢母  $\rightarrow$ - 4 E

. p P. Sam Johnson (NITK) **[Orthogonal Projector](#page-22-0)** May 26, 2017 1 / 23

活

 $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$   $\equiv$ 

<span id="page-0-0"></span> $2990$ 

We study the concept of orthogonal projection and give an explicit expression for the orthogonal projector into the column space of a matrix.

### **Definition**

For any set A of vectors in an inner product space V ,  $\mathcal{A}^\perp:=\{y\in\mathcal{V}:y\perp\ \textit{ for every }x\in\mathcal{A}\}.$ 

- $A^\perp$  is a subspace of  $V$  for any set  $A\subseteq V.$
- Constructing an ONB for  $S^{\perp}$  from an ONB of S. Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$  be any ONB of a subspace S and let  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n\}$  be any extension of B to an ONB of V. Then  $S^{\perp}$  is the space of  $\{x_{k+1},\ldots,x_n\}.$
- If S is a subspace of V, then  $S^{\perp}$  is a complement of S;

$$
d(S^{\perp}) = d(V) = d(S) \text{ and } (S^{\perp})^{\perp} = S.
$$

Becasue of the reasons ( $S^\perp$  is a complement of  $S$  and is orthogonal to S), we call  $S^{\perp}$ , the **orthogonal complement** of S.

 $\Omega$ 

K ロト K 御 ト K 君 ト K 君 K

## Properties of Orthogonal Complements

- If  $W$  is a complement of  $S$  and is orthogonal to  $S$ , then  $W=S^\perp$ .
- The union of an ONB of S and an ONB of W is an ONB of V.
- Suppose  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$  are subspaces which are orthogonal to one another and  $S_1 + S_2 + \cdots + S_k = V$ . Then  $S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k = V$ . Now for any fixed  $i$   $(1\leq i\leq k),$   $\sum_{j\neq i}S_{j}$  is a complement of  $S_{i}$  and is orthogonal to  $S_i$ , so it is the orthogonal complement of  $S_i$ .

• If 
$$
S \subseteq T
$$
, then  $T^{\perp} \subseteq S^{T}$ .

- If  $S$  and  $\mathcal T$  are subspaces, then  $(S+\mathcal T)^{\perp} = S^{\perp}\cap \mathcal T^{\perp}$  and  $(S \cap T)^{\perp} = S^{\perp} + T^{\perp}.$
- The result  $S=(S^\perp)^\perp$  is **quite powerful** and is closed related to a result known as Farkas lemma which is equivalent to the duality theorem of linear programming.

イロト イ母 トイミト イミト ニヨー りんぴ

# Orthogonal projection into a subspace

## **Definition**

If S is a subspace of V and  $x \in V$ , the projection of x into S along  $S^{\perp}$  is called the **orthogonal projection** of  $x$  into  $S$ .

- Geometrically, the orthogonal projection of  $x$  into  $S$  is the foot of the perpendicular drawn from  $x$  to  $S$ .
- Since  $(\mathsf{S}^\perp)^\perp=\mathsf{S}$ , it follows that if  $\mathsf y$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathsf x$ into  $S$  then  $x-y$  is the orthogonal projection of  $x$  into  $S^\perp.$
- Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$  be an ONB of S. Then for any  $x \in V$ , y defined by  $y = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle x, x_i \rangle x_i$ ,  $(y \in S)$  is the orthogonal projection of  $x$  into  $S$ ; the residual  $x - y$  is the orthogonal to each of  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ ,  $(x - y \in S^{\perp})$ ;  $x - y$  is the orthogonal projection of  $x$  into  $S^{\perp}$ .
- $\bullet$  The residual of x with respect to an ONB of S does not depend on the choice of the basis. Residual, is really with respect to the subspace S. イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト  $200$

## Orthogonal projection into a flat

Let W be a flat. Then there is a (unique) subspace S and a vector  $u$  (not unique) in V such that  $W = u + S$ . Any  $x \in V$ ,  $x - u$  has unique expression  $x - u = s + t, s \in S, t \in S^\perp$ . Hence  $x = (u + s) + t,$  $u+s\in W,$   $t\in S^{\perp}.$  Thus any vector  $x\in V$  can be written unqiuely as  $w + t$ , where  $w \in W$  and  $t \in S^{\perp}$ .

The vector  $w$  is called the orthogonal projection of  $x$  into  $W$ . Geometrically, it is the foot of the perpendicular from  $x$  to  $W$ .

If P is the orthogonal projector into S, then  $w = u + P(x - u)$ , where  $w \in W = u + S$ , and S is a subspace.

#### Theorem

Let w be the orthogonal projection of  $x$  into a flat  $W$ . Then  $d(x, W) = \min_{z \in W} ||x - z||$  is attained at w and only at w.

 $QQ$ 

イロト イ部 トイヨ トイヨト

Consider  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$  equipped with the canonical inner product. For a real matrix A,  $\mathcal{N}(A)=\mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}=\mathcal{C}(A^{\perp})^{\perp}.$  For a complex matrix A,  $N(A) = C(A^*)^{\perp}.$ 

#### Proof.

$$
x \perp C(A^*) \iff \langle x, A^* z \rangle \text{ for all } z
$$
  

$$
\iff (A^* z) x = z^* A x = 0 \text{ for all } z
$$
  

$$
\iff Ax = 0 \iff x \in N(A).
$$

#### **Definition**

Let S be a subspace of  $F^n$ . The orthogonal projector into S is the  $n \times n$ matrix P such that for every  $x \in F^n$ ,  $P_x$  is the orthogonal projection of x into S.

 $\Omega$ 

- An  $n \times n$  matrix Q is the orthogonal projector if it is the orthogonal projector into some subspace S of  $F^n$ .
- $\bullet S = C(Q).$
- Q is the projector into S along  $S^{\perp}$ .

$$
\bullet S^{\perp}=C(I-Q).
$$

 $I-Q$  is the projector into  $S^\perp.$ 

## TFAE

 $\bullet$   $\circ$  is an orthogonal projector.

$$
Q^*Q=Q.
$$

$$
Q^* = Q \text{ and } Q^2 = Q.
$$

 $=$   $\Omega$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

#### Proof.

Q is an ort.proj.  $\iff$  Qx is the orth.proj. of x into  $C(Q)$ ,  $\forall x \in F^n$ .  $\Leftrightarrow x = Qx \perp C(Q) \forall x \in F^n$ .  $\iff \langle Qy, (I - Q)x \rangle = 0 \forall x y \in F^n$ .  $\iff$   $(I-Q)^* = 0 \iff Q^*Q = Q.$ 

Hence  $(a) \iff (c)$ .

**KOD KARD KED KED B YOUR** 

We next obtain an explicit formula for the orthogonal projector into the column space of an arbitrary matrix.

Theorem

The orthogonal projector  $P_A$  into  $C(A)$  is given by  $P_A = A(A^*A)^-A^*$ , where  $B^-$  denotes a generalized inverse of A.

Proof. page 270

Remark

Page 270

Computation of  $P_A$ . Page 270

 $\Omega$ 

Transformation which preserves distances are called isometries. Linear transformations which preserve inner product (and so distances and angles) are isometries. We study their matrices.

We consider only the canonical inner product in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Definition

A unitary matrix is a complex square matrix A such that  $A^*A = I$  (is equivalent to,  $\mathcal{A}^*=\mathcal{A}^{-1}$  ). We know that if a square matrix  $\mathcal A$  has a left inverse, then A has an inverse, so  $A^*A = I$ .

An orthogonal matrix is a real square matrix A such that  $AA^T = I$  (is equivalent to,  $A^T = A^{-1}$ ).

$$
(AA^*)_{ij} = \langle A_{i*}, A^*_{j*} \rangle = \langle A_{i*}, A_{j*} \rangle
$$

and  $(A^*A)_{ij} = \langle A_{*j}, A_{*i} \rangle$ . Hence  $A$  is unitary iff the rows as well as the columns of A from orthonormal bases of  $F<sup>n</sup>$ .

 $200$ 

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト



**Kロトメ部トメミドメミド ミニのRC** 

- $\bullet$  If A is unitary, the matrix obtained from A by any permutation of rows or columns is also unitary.
- **2** The matrix obtained by multiplying any row or column of a unitary matrix by a scalar of unit modulus is also unitary.
- Any  $2 \times 2$  orthogonal matrix A is

$$
A_{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}
$$
 or 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix}
$$
 for some  $\theta$ .

**Proof.** Let P and Q be the points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  corresponding to the two columns of A and  $Q$  be the angle between the x-axis and  $OP$ .

Then length  $PO=1$ , so  $P=(\cos\theta,\sin\theta)^T$ .

Length  $OQ$  is also 1 and  $OQ$  is perpendicular to  $OP$ , so  $Q = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi)^T$  where  $\phi$  is  $(\theta + \frac{\pi}{2})$  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ) or  $(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})$  $(\frac{\pi}{2})$ . Hence A is  $A_{\theta}$  or  $B_{\theta}$ .

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $\equiv$   $\cap$   $\alpha$ 

#### Definition

An orthogonal matrix is said to be proper or improper according as its determinant is  $1$  or  $-1$ . Note that  $A_{\theta}$  is proper and  $B_{\theta}$  is improper.

Construction of Hermitian-unitary matrix from a vector  $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $||u|| = 1.$ 

Let *u* be any vector in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $||u|| = 1$  and set  $A = I - 2uu^*$ .

Then A is Hermitian and  $A A^* = A^2 = I - 4 u u^* + 4 u u^* u u^* = I$ , since  $u^*u = I$ . Thus A is unitary.

If u is real and A is symmetric and orthogonal, then  $A = I - 2uu^{T}$  is symmetric-orthogonal matrix.

 $=$   $\Omega$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

#### Theorem

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. TFAF

- **1** A is unitary.
- 2  $\langle Ax, Ay \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$  (the map  $x \mapsto Ax$  preserves angles).
- **3**  $||Ax|| = ||x||$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  (the map  $x \mapsto Ax$  preserves length).
- $\|\mathcal{A}x\|=1$  whenever  $\|x\|=1$  and  $x\in\mathbb{C}^n$  (the map  $x\mapsto Ax$  leaves the surface of a sphere with centre at the origin, invariant.
- **5**  $||Ax Ay|| = ||x y||$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$  (the map  $x \mapsto Ax$  preserves distance).
- **1**  $\{Ax_1, Ax_2, \ldots, Ax_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  whenever  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Further, if A is real, then 'unitary' can be replaced by 'orthogonal' in  $(i)$ and  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in (ii) through (vi).

 $QQ$ 

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨ トー ヨー

Written out if full, (ii) says: if we make a change of variables from  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  to  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$  by  $y = Ax$ , where A is orthogonal, then

$$
y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \dots + y_n^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2.
$$

This is what makes orthogonal transformations useful in many subjects.

For example, this is used in Statistics to show that the sample mean and sample variance are independently distributed if the popoulation is normal.

Let A be a linear map. The map  $K : x \mapsto Ax + c$  is known as an affine transformation.

 $=$   $\Omega$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

If A is unitary, then K preserves distances. We prove a strong form of the converse.

#### Theorem

Let f be any map (not necessarily affine transformation) from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to itself such that  $|| f(x) - f(y)|| = ||x - y||$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exist an orthogonal matrix A and a vector  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $f(x) = Ax + c$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Proof. Page 276

We have seen that every  $2 \times 2$  orthogonal matrix corresponds to either a rotation or a reflection of the plance depending upon whether it is proper or improper.

We will prove that every orthogonal matrix of order 3 corresponds to either a rotation of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  about a line through the origin or such a rotation followed by a reflection in the origin, depending upon whether it is proper or improper. イロト イ押 トイヨト イヨ  $QQ$ 

Transition matrices and the effect of a change of bases on the matrix of a linear transformation. Page 277

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト

 $\equiv$   $\Omega$ 

#### **Definition**

Matrices A and B are **unitarily similar** to each other if there exists an unitary matrix P such that  $B = P^{-1}AP$ .

Constructing a large class of unitary matrices provided one can invert a matrix.

## **Definition**

A skew-hermitian matrix is a square matrix S such that  $S^* = -S$ . A real skew-hermitian matrix is said to be **skew-symmetric**.

 $\Omega$ 

Let A be a square matrix such that  $I + A$  is non-singular. Let  $\tilde{\bm{A}} = (I - A)(I + A)^{-1}$ . Then  $\tilde{\bm{A}}$  is unitary iff  $A$  is skew-hermitian.

If A is real,  $\tilde{A}$  is orthogonal iff A is skew-symmetric.

Let A be a square matrix such that  $I + A$  is non-singular. Let  $\tilde{\bm{A}} = (I - A)(I + A)^{-1}$ . Then  $I + \tilde{\bm{A}}$  is also singular and  $\tilde{\tilde{\bm{A}}} = A$ . We will prove later that if S is skew-hermitian then  $I + S$  is non-singular.

Thus  $S \leftrightarrow \tilde{S}$  is a  $1 - 1$  correspondence between skew-hermitian matrices and unitary matrices U such that  $I + U$  is non-singular.

 $QQQ$ 

How to generate the skew-hermitian matrices? Put arbitrary purely imaginary numbers on the diagona, arbitrary complex numbers above the diagonal and then fill the cells below the diagonal by using  $s_{ii} = -\overline{s}_{ii}$ .

Now taking  $\tilde{S}$  we get all unitary matrices U such that  $I + U$  is non-singular.

 $\Omega$ 

## Exercises

- **1** Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Show that the following statements are equivalent:
	- (a)  $Ax \perp Ay$  iff  $x \perp y$ ,
	- $(b)$  A is non-zero scalar times a unitary martix,
	- $(c)$  the columns of A are orthogonal and have equal norms,
	- (d) the rows of A are orthogonal and have equal norms.
- **2** Show that if A is unitary, then  $C(1 A)$  and  $N(1 A)$  are orthogonal complements.

 $\Omega$ 

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト

## Exercises

- **3** Show that the set of all  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices forms a group under multiplication. This group is denoted by  $O_n$ . Show that the set of all proper orthogonal matrices of order *n* forms a subgroup of  $O_n$ . This subgroup is denoted by  $SO_n$ .
- **•** Show that for an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,  $||Ax|| = ||x||$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  iff  $A^*A = I$ . (Such a rectangular matrix is called a semi-unitary matrix.
- **•** Given  $u$  and  $v$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\|u\| = \|v\|$ , explain how an orthogonal matrix C can be obtained so that  $Cu = v$ .
- **I** Let *u* and *x* be fixed vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Find the maximum and the minimum values of  $(x^T\mathit{Cu})^2$  as  $\mathit{C}$  varies over all  $n\times n$  orthogonal matrices.

 $=$   $\Omega$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 



A. Ramachandra Rao and P. Bhimasankaram, "Linear Algebra", Hindustan Book Agency, 2000.

メロメ メ都 メメ きょくきょ

<span id="page-22-0"></span> $\equiv$  990